For Office Use Only Executive Office of Environmental Affairs

EOEA No.: 12958
MEPA Analysteriz des Buckley
Phone: 617-626-1044

Commonwealth of Massachusetts



Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office

Environmental Notification Form

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: Boston Harbor Deep Draft Navigation Improvement Project		
Street: N/A		
Municipality: Boston, Chelsea	Watershed: Boston Harbor	
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:	Latitude: 42° 20' N	
	Longitude: 70° 59' W	
Estimated commencement date: 2009	Estimated completion date: 2011	
Approximate cost: \$40-80M	Status of project design: 5 %complete	
Proponent: Michael A. Leone, Massport Port Director		
Street: One Harborside Drive Suite 200S		
Municipality: Boston	State: MA	Zip Code: 02128
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copies of this ENF May Be Obtained:		
Cheryl Washington		
Firm/Agency: Massachusetts Port Authority	Street: One Hark	
Municipality: East Boston	State: MA	Zip Code: 02128
Phone: (617) 568-3525 Fax: (617) 568-3	515 E-mail: cw	ashington@massport.com
Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory EIR threshold (see 301 CMR 11.03)? Yes Yes No		
Identify any financial assistance or land transfer from an agency of the Commonwealth, including the agency name and the amount of funding or land area (in acres): Massachusetts Port Authority will provide 50% of the funding to the US Army Corps of Engineers for the feasibility study, as well as a portion of the construction costs (to be determined). Are you requesting coordinated review with any other federal, state, regional, or local agency?		
	ers of Conditions	from Boston, Revere and

Essential Fish Habitat: Magnuson- Stevens Fisheries Conservation and Management Act; Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act; and the Preservation of Historic and Archaeological Data Act of 1974. Which ENF or EIR review threshold(s) does the project meet or exceed (see 301 CMR 11.03): ☐ Land Rare Species ີ Water Wastewater Transportation Energy Air Solid & Hazardous Waste ACEC Regulations Historical & Archaeological Resources Summary of Project Size Existing Change Total State Permits & & Environmental Impacts **Approvals** LAND Order of Conditions Superseding Order of Total site acreage ~1,140 Conditions New acres of land altered N/A Chapter 91 License Acres of impervious area N/A N/A N/A □ 401 Water Quality Square feet of new bordering N/A Certification vegetated wetlands alteration MHD or MDC Access Square feet of new other Permit N/A ☐ Water Management wetland alteration Act Permit Acres of new non-water N/A ☐ New Source Approval dependent use of tidelands or DEP or MWRA waterways Sewer Connection/ **STRUCTURES Extension Permit** Gross square footage N/A N/A N/A Other Permits Number of housing units N/A N/A N/A (including Legislative Approvals) -Maximum height (in feet) N/A N/A N/A Specify: **TRANSPORTATION** MCZM Consistency Vehicle trips per day N/A N/A N/A Parking spaces N/A N/A N/A WATER/WASTEWATER Gallons/day (GPD) of water use N/A N/A N/A GPD water withdrawal N/A N/A N/A N/A GPD wastewater generation/ N/A N/A treatment Length of water/sewer mains N/A N/A N/A (in miles) **CONSERVATION LAND:** Will the project involve the conversion of public parkland or other Article 97 public natural resources to any purpose not in accordance with Article 97? ☐Yes (Specify) Will it involve the release of any conservation restriction, preservation restriction, agricultural preservation restriction, or watershed preservation restriction? ⊠ No Yes (Specify RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Habitat of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority Sites of Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities? ⊠ No Yes (Specify

Act; Endangered Species Act; Marine Protection Research and Sanctuaries Act of 1973:

HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the project site include any structure
site or district listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and
Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth?
☐Yes (Specify) ☐No
To be determined as part of the Draft EIR/SEIS preparation.
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic or archaeological resources?
Yes (Specify) No
To be determined as part of the Draft EIR/SEIS preparation.
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area
of Childal Environmental Concern?
☐Yes (Specify) ⊠ No
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include (a) a description of the project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (You may attach one additional page, if necessary.)
The US Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), in partnership with the Massachusetts Port Authority (Massport) has initiated a feasibility study of potential deep-draft navigation channel improvements to

The US Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), in partnership with the Massachusetts Port Authority (Massport) has initiated a feasibility study of potential deep-draft navigation channel improvements to the Boston Harbor. The study will examine the Port of Boston's current and likely future role in the maritime commerce of the nation, and identify likely levels of future vessel traffic and commerce through the port. In addition, the study will investigate options for accommodating increased deep draft vessel traffic at Boston Harbor, including channel deepening, cargo diversion, and no action. The costs of implementing alternative options will be measured against estimated benefits to improving commercial transportation costs in order to identify whether improvements are warranted consistent with Corps policies.

(a) Description of the Project Site

Boston Harbor, the largest port in New England, is located on the eastern shore of Massachusetts on Massachusetts Bay. The study area includes the developed port areas of the Cities of Boston and Chelsea, the transportation systems, and navigation facilities providing access to the port.

Existing Conditions (Shown on Figure 1)

Entrance Channels and Main Anchorage: Currently the main entrance channel, the Broad Sound North Channel, is 40 feet deep at mean lower low water (mllw) and 900 feet wide (1,100 feet wide in the turn entrance). The channel also has a northern 35-foot deep and 600-foot wide lane. The 40-foot channel widens to 1,200 feet at the outer confluence of the other two entrance channels to the harbor as it passes south of and alongside the 40-foot anchorage at President Roads.

Main Ship Channel: The Main Ship Channel, between President Roads and the inner confluence generally consists of two lanes, one 40 feet deep and the other 35 feet deep, each 600 feet wide. Below the Ted Williams Tunnel (I-90), the Main Ship Channel's 40-foot lane is located along the southern side of the channel, abreast of the developed industrial waterfront of South Boston. The South Boston Reserved Channel extends westerly off the Main Ship Channel about two miles above the President Roads Anchorage.

Mystic River Channel: The majority of the Mystic River channel above the Inner Confluence and the Tobin Bridge (US-1) was deepened to 40 feet as part of the recent improvement project. The southwestern portion of the channel along the Charlestown shore was left at 35 feet.

<u>Chelsea River Channel:</u> The Chelsea River Channel above the Inner Confluence and the McArdle Bridge was deepened to 38 feet as part of the recent improvement project.

The Ted Williams Tunnel (I-90) crosses beneath the Main Ship Channel at the upstream end of the South

<u>Chelsea River Channel:</u> The Chelsea River Channel above the Inner Confluence and the McArdle Bridge was deepened to 38 feet as part of the recent improvement project.

The Ted Williams Tunnel (I-90) crosses beneath the Main Ship Channel at the upstream end of the South Boston Marine Industrial Park and limits channel depths above this point to the 40 feet now provided. This effectively confines future port development that would require depths greater than 40 feet to areas seaward of the tunnel crossing.

There are several marine cargo facilities located along the lower Main Ship Channel and the Reserved Channel:

- The Conley Container Terminal that is owned and operated by Massport is located at the confluence of the Reserved Channel and the Main Ship Channel.
- The Coastal Oil Terminal is located immediately upstream of the Conley Terminal.
- The Black Falcon Cruise Terminal that is owned and operated by Massport occupies most of the northern bulkhead of the Reserved Channel along the 35-foot reach and upper end of the 40-foot reach.
- The 40-foot dry dock and the Coastal Cement Terminal are located off the 40 foot Federal dry-dock approach channel, immediately upstream of the Reserved Channel.
- The Massport Marine Terminal is located along the Main Ship Channel between the Drydock Channel and the Ted Williams Tunnel.

(b) A description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative.

Plans for Channel Improvements (Shown on Figure 2)

Entrance Channel and Main Anchorage: The Broad Sound North Entrance Channel, from the Massachusetts Bay to President Roads and the President Roads Anchorage will be examined for deepening from their current 40-foot depth to up to 50 feet.

Main Ship Channel: The Main Ship Channel reaches from the President Roads Anchorage to the Ted Williams Tunnel, the I-90 tunnel, will be examined for deepening beyond 40 feet to depths as great as 50 feet.

Mystic River Channel: The eastern portion of this 35-foot area will be examined under this study for deepening to 40 feet such that the 40-foot navigation channel abuts the recently deepened 40-foot berth at Massport's Medford Street Terminal in Charlestown.

<u>Chelsea River Channel</u>: The Chelsea River Channel will be examined for deepening to 40 feet. This is now possible because there are plans underway to replace the Chelsea Street Bridge and to replace the natural gas siphon that crosses beneath the channel, neither of which were underway when the feasibility of deepening beyond 38 feet was studied as part of the previous Boston Harbor Navigation Improvement Project.

Each potential project improvement will be evaluated at various alternative depths (i.e., 42 to 50 feet) and will be compared to the No Action Alternative.

In general, because all of these areas are existing navigation channels and anchorage areas that have been dredged in the past, most associated environmental impacts will be temporary in nature.

(c) Potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative.

Once the project impacts have been identified as part of the Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR)/Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) measures to mitigate these impacts will be evaluated and appropriate mitigation will be proposed.

A proposed Draft EIR/SEIS outline is appended to this ENF (Attachment 1).